

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****AUG 25 2005****BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP**

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW  
500 12th Street, Suite 200, Oakland, CA 94607  
Telephone: (510) 663-1100 Facsimile: (510) 663-0920  
[www.beyerlaw.com](http://www.beyerlaw.com)

**FACSIMILE COVER SHEET**

August 25, 2005

**Receiver:** Examiner Feben Haile-Art Unit 2663  
(USPTO Central Fax)

**TEL #:**

**FAX # :** 571-273-8300

**Sender:** Natalie Morgan for:  
Mary Ramos Olynick

**Serial No.** 09/920,533  
**Our Ref. No.** CISC209/3895

**Re:** Amendment B

**Pages Including Cover Sheet(s):** 11

**Fax Contents:** Fax Cover Sheet- 1 page  
Amendment Transmittal- 1 page  
Amendment B- 9 pages

**MESSAGE:****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE**

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Daniel C. Biederman

Attorney Docket No.: CISC209/3895

Application No.: 09/920,533

Examiner: Feben Haile

Filed: July 31, 2001

Group: 2663

Title: MECHANISMS FOR AVOIDING  
PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH NETWORK  
ADDRESS PROTOCOL TRANSLATION

**CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Attention: Examiner Feben Haile at facsimile telephone number (571) 273-8300 on August 25, 2005.

Printed Name: Natalie Morgan

Signed: **AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:


Transmitted herewith is an Amendment in the above-identified application.

The fee has been calculated as shown below.

	Claims After Amendment		Highest Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Small Entity Rate Fee	Large Entity Rate Fee
Total Claims	38	MINUS	45	0	x 25 =	x 50 = 0
Independent Claims	4	MINUS	4	0	x 100 =	x 200 = 0
Total					\$	\$0

- ☐ Applicant(s) hereby petition for a \_\_\_\_\_ month extension(s) of time to respond to the aforementioned Office Action.
- ☒ Applicant(s) believe that no (additional) Extension of Time is required; however, if it is determined that such an extension is required, Applicant(s) hereby petition that such an extension be granted and authorize the Commissioner to charge the required fees for an Extension of Time under 37 CFR 1.136 to Deposit Account No. 500388.
- ☐ Enclosed is our Check No. \_\_\_\_\_ in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ to cover the additional claim fee and/or extension of time fees.
- ☒ Please charge the required fees, or any additional fees required to facilitate filing the enclosed response, to Deposit Account No. 500388 (Order No. CISC209).

Respectfully submitted,  
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

  
Mary Ramos Olynick  
Reg. No. 42,963

P.O. Box 70250  
Oakland, CA 94612-0250

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 25 2005

PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Daniel C. Biederman

Attorney Docket No.: CISC209/3895

Application No.: 09/920,533

Examiner: Feben Haile

Filed: July 31, 2001

Group: 2663

Title: MECHANISMS FOR AVOIDING  
PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH NETWORK  
ADDRESS PROTOCOL TRANSLATION

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Attention: Examiner Feben Haile at facsimile telephone number (571) 273-8300 on August 25, 2005.

Printed Name: Natalie Morgan

Signed: Natalie Morgan

**AMENDMENT B**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir/Madam:

It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner enters the following amendments in response to the Office Action dated 11 August 2005, a response to which is due on 11 November 2005.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begin on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 9 of this paper.